

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,588	09/05/2006	Xing Dong Wang	2006_1476A	3347
513 7590 02/27/2008 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			LAO, MARIALOUISA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			NAME DAME	DET HERMA CORE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/591,588 WANG ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Louisa Lao 1621 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 November 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16, 18 and 25-29 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-15 and 17 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 19-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/29/2006

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/591,588 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

# DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-15, 17 and 19-24) in the reply filed on 11/20/07
is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed
errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse
(MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 16 and 25-29 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11/20/07.

## Specification

#### CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application is a 371 of PCT/JP05/03831 03/01/2005.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- Claims 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a) Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. Claim 19 is intended to recite a sequence of steps. The omitted steps are: the sequence of or the timing of addition of the organic acid/salt to the mixed solution of hydroxide

Application/Control Number: 10/591,588 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

and sulfate/nitrate. It is unclear if the acid/salt is to be added to a first group then to a second

group; or if the mixed solution is (a first group  $\pm$  a second group) to which acid/salt is added.

b) Claim 19 recites the limitation "the group" in lines 8 and 10. There are

insufficient antecedent bases for these limitations in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-15 and 17 are allowed.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the

prior art, of record, including Hideyuki et al. (JP2000-007326, JP'326 in IDS) neither teach nor

suggest the organic acid anion containing aluminum salt hydroxide particles, represented by

general formula (I) in claim 1.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MLouisa Lao whose telephone number is 571-272-9930. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:00am to 8:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Porfirio Nazario-Gonzalez/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621

`mll02072008 MLouisa Lao Examiner Art Unit 1621

for YVONNE EYLER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TC1600 GAU 1621